



**Student IA Evaluation for Mohammad Saleh Ramadan
Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering**

MAE 8 - MATLAB Program for Engr Analys (Pham, Hieu Tan)
Winter 2020

**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 15
Number of Students Enrolled: 185**

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

5 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
9 (60.0%): Agree
1 (6.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

5 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
7 (46.7%): Agree
2 (13.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (6.7%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

4 (26.7%): Strongly Agree
9 (60.0%): Agree
2 (13.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

2 (13.3%): Strongly Agree
10 (66.7%): Agree
2 (13.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (6.7%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

1 (6.7%): Strongly Agree
10 (66.7%): Agree
2 (13.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
2 (13.3%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

3 (20.0%): Strongly Agree
8 (53.3%): Agree
4 (26.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

5 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
7 (46.7%): Agree
3 (20.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

3 (20.0%): Strongly Agree
9 (60.0%): Agree
2 (13.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (6.7%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

| | |
|------------|----------------------------|
| 4 (26.7%): | Strongly Agree |
| 8 (53.3%): | Agree |
| 3 (20.0%): | Neither Agree Nor Disagree |
| 0 (0.0%): | Disagree |
| 0 (0.0%): | Strongly Disagree |
| 0 (0.0%): | Not Applicable |

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

| | |
|-------------|----------------------------|
| 2 (13.3%): | Strongly Agree |
| 10 (66.7%): | Agree |
| 3 (20.0%): | Neither Agree Nor Disagree |
| 0 (0.0%): | Disagree |
| 0 (0.0%): | Strongly Disagree |
| 0 (0.0%): | Not Applicable |

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

| | |
|-------------|---|
| 10 (71.4%): | No issues |
| 3 (21.4%): | Too quiet |
| 0 (0.0%): | Too loud |
| 0 (0.0%): | Too fast |
| 1 (7.1%): | Too slow |
| 0 (0.0%): | Poor grammar and/or English language skills |
| 0 (0.0%): | Used filler words such as "um" |
| 0 (0.0%): | Other (please describe) |

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

| | |
|-------------|----------------------------|
| 3 (21.4%): | Strongly Agree |
| 10 (71.4%): | Agree |
| 1 (7.1%): | Neither Agree Nor Disagree |
| 0 (0.0%): | Disagree |
| 0 (0.0%): | Strongly Disagree |
| 1: | [No Response] |

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- Clearly knows what he is talking about
- He is able to teach matlab in a way that's clear and concise.
- He was pretty clear with his explanations and lead good discussion sections.
- Showed steps for some of the exercises worked in class and provides clear explanations to understand the material that is being reviewed. Was always on time for discussing section and was prepared and provided us with the tools to find some of the examples he worked through on MATLAB.
- Very patient and thorough. I was taking a while to grasp concepts and he stuck with teaching me until I understood.
- Went through examples one by one and did them in a concise and effective manner

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- He is knowledgeable, but very boring. Does not seem happy, talks quietly, monotone, and slow.
- He's tends to stick to the blackboard which is hard visualize back into matlab.
- Sometimes it was a bit difficult to understand what he was saying but overall it was good.
- too quiet at times

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- no other comments

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.